

**EXHIBIT RR**

**Inciardi, Scott P.**

---

**From:** Ridley, Eileen R.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 01, 2008 4:28 PM  
**To:** Bruce Harland  
**Cc:** Arnold, Laurence R.; Inciardi, Scott P.; Kunisaki, Kristy  
**Subject:** RE: SEIU v. Stanford Cases

From the Desk of: Eileen R. Ridley



[My Location](#)   [My V-card](#)   [My Bio](#)

Mr. Harland -

I have not heard further from you regarding this matter. Please provide your response by tomorrow morning (9 a.m.) including whether your client will stipulate to a continuance of the filing date - otherwise we will bring a motion before Judge Fogel to continue the date. Thanks very much.

Eileen

INFO

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce Harland [[bharland@unioncounsel.net](mailto:bharland@unioncounsel.net)]  
Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 7:41 AM  
To: Ridley, Eileen R.  
Cc: Arnold, Laurence R.; Inciardi, Scott P.; Kunisaki, Kristy  
Subject: RE: SEIU v. Stanford Cases

Eileen,

I'm out of the office and will not be back until tomorrow, Tuesday, July 1, 2008. I will have to talk to my client about the stipulation that you propose. I will talk to you tomorrow.

Bruce

-----Original Message-----

From: Ridley, Eileen R. [[ERidley@foley.com](mailto:ERidley@foley.com)]  
Sent: Fri 6/27/2008 4:59 PM  
To: Bruce Harland  
Cc: Arnold, Laurence R.; Inciardi, Scott P.; Kunisaki, Kristy  
Subject: RE: SEIU v. Stanford Cases

[http://mm1.lettermark.net/foleylaw/card/ANCB\\_3.map](http://mm1.lettermark.net/foleylaw/card/ANCB_3.map)  
[http://mm1.lettermark.net/foleylaw/card/ANCB\\_3.gif](http://mm1.lettermark.net/foleylaw/card/ANCB_3.gif)

Mr. Harland:

I have yet to hear from you regarding the matters discussed below. As the Court has set a date for filing dispositive motions for July 18, 2008 and we have not resolved our pending discovery disputes, will you stipulate to a continuance of the July 18, 2008 deadline? Please provide your response to this question and the issues raised below by Monday, June 30, 2008. Thank you

Eileen

[http://www.lettermark.net/emailhelp.asp?id=Foley & Lardner LLP>](http://www.lettermark.net/emailhelp.asp?id=Foley & Lardner LLP)

---

From: Ridley, Eileen R.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 11:20 AM  
To: Bruce Harland  
Cc: Arnold, Laurence R.; Inciardi, Scott P.; Kunisaki, Kristy  
Subject: SEIU v. Stanford Cases

Mr. Harland:

I have not yet received a response to my letter of June 23, 2008 regarding the above cases and the depositions of Mr. Smith and Ms. Escamilla. We understand that you are refusing to produce Mr. Smith for his deposition tomorrow. Please immediately provide alternative dates for Mr. Smith and Ms. Escamilla as these depositions must be completed soon given July 18th deadline to file dispositive motions. We would prefer not to have to seek Court intervention in this matter and look forward to resolving it soon. However, we are prepared to file a motion to compel these depositions if we cannot reach an agreement with you. We look forward to your response.

In the meantime, we have received the responses to my clients' request for production/subpoenas from your clients and believe they are insufficient and incomplete. We will address those issues by separate correspondence. However, the responses specifically include objections based upon privilege but no privilege log was provided. Please provide that log immediately. Thank you.

Eileen

The preceding email message may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client privilege. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party.

Internal Revenue Service regulations require that certain types of written advice include a disclaimer. To the extent the preceding message contains advice relating to a Federal tax issue, unless expressly stated otherwise the advice is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used by the recipient or any other taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding Federal tax penalties, and was not written to support the promotion or marketing of any transaction or matter discussed herein.